arXiv:1011.4438v1 [cs.DM] 19 Nov 2010

Smooth infinite words over n-letter alphabets having same remainder when divided by n

Yun Bao Huang
Department of Mathematics
Hangzhou Normal University
Xiasha Economic Development Area
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310036, China
huangyunbao@sina.com
huangyunbao@gmail.com

2010.11.18

Abstract. Brlek et al. (2008) studied smooth infinite words and established some results on letter frequency, recurrence, reversal and complementation for 2-letter alphabets having same parity. In this paper, we explore smooth infinite words over n-letter alphabet $\{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ are positive integers and have same remainder when divided by n. And let $a_i = n \cdot q_i + r$, $q_i \in N$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, where $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. We use distinct methods to prove that (1) if $r = 0$, the letters frequency of two times differentiable well-proportioned infinite words is $1/n$, which suggests that the letter frequency of the generalized Kolakoski sequences is $1/2$ for 2-letter even alphabets; (2) the smooth infinite words are recurrent; (3) if $r = 0$ or $r > 0$ and n is an even number, the generalized Kolakoski words are uniformly recurrent for the alphabet Σ_n with the cyclic order; (4) the factor set of three times differentiable infinite words is not closed under any nonidentical permutation. Brlek et al.'s results are only the special cases of our corresponding results.

Keywords: Smooth infinite words; reversal; recurrence; uniformly recurrence; letter frequency; well-proportioned infinite words.

1. Introduction

The Kolakoski sequence K which was first introduced in [19], is the infinite sequence over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{1, 2\}$ which starts with 1 and equals the sequence defined by its run lengths:

$$K = \underbrace{1}_{1}\underbrace{22}_{2}\underbrace{11}_{2}\underbrace{2}_{1}\underbrace{1}_{1}\underbrace{22}_{2}\underbrace{1}_{1}\underbrace{22}_{2}\underbrace{11}_{2}\underbrace{2}_{1}\underbrace{11}_{2}\underbrace{22}_{2}\underbrace{\cdots}_{\cdots}$$

Here, a run is a maximal subsequence of consecutive identical symbols. The curious Kolakoski sequence K has received a striking attention by showing some intriguing combinatorical properties [3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27].

Keane [17] asked whether the density of $1'$s in K is 0.5. Chvátal [9] proved that the upper density of $1'$s as well as the upper density of $2'$s in K is less than 0.501. Brlek, Jamet and Paquin [4] investigated smooth infinite words on 2-letter alphabets having same parity and showed that all smooth infinite words are recurrent; that the closure of the set of factors under reversal holds for odd alphabets only; and that the frequency (density) of letters in extremal words is 1/2 for even alphabets, and for $a = 1$ with b odd, the frequency of b's is $1/(\sqrt{2b-1}+1)$.

Baake and Sing [1] and Sing [24, 25] established a connection between the generalized Kolakoski words and model sets. By the way, Sing [24, 25] showed that for 2-letter alphabets having same parity, the generalized kolakoski sequences are the fixed points of some suitable primitive substitutions, which means the generalized kolakoski sequences are uniformly recurrent.

In this paper, our main goal is to study the corresponding problems on n-letter alphabets having same remainder r when divided by n. By using distinct methods, we give all the fixpoints of the operator Δ (Theorem 4) and establish that (1) smooth infinite words are recurrent (Theorem 18); (2) if the remainder r is 0 or $r > 0$ and n is an even number, the generalized Kolakoski words are uniformly recurrent for n-letter alphabets with the cyclic order (Theorem 20); (3) if $r = 0$, the letters frequency is $1/n$ for two times differentiable well-proportioned words (Theorem 7), which means that the frequency of the generalized Kolakoski sequences is 1/2 for 2-letter even alphabets (Corollary 8); (4) the factor set of three times differentiable infinite words is not closed under any nonidentical permutation (Theorem 10). Moreover we provide a new proof of smooth infinite words being closed under reversal for odd alphabets.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall first fix some notations and introduce some notions. Secondly in Section 3, we give all the fixpoints of the operator

Δ over Σ_n. In Section 4, we establish the frequency of two times differentiable well-proportioned words for n-letter alphabets having the remainder $r = 0$. In Section 5, we show that three times differentiable infinite words are not closed under any nonidentical permutation for n-letter alphabets. In Section 6, we give some useful notations of the inverse Φ^{-1} of the bijection $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_n^\omega \to \mathbf{\Sigma}_n^\omega$. In Section 7, we establish some lemmas which are used in the following discussions. In Section 8, we prove that smooth infinite words are reccurent for n-letter alphabet with the cyclic order. In Section 9, we establish that if the remainder r is 0, or $r > 0$ and n is an even number, then the generalized Kolakoski sequence is the fixpoint of some suitable primitive substitution for n-letter alphabets having same remainder r with the cyclic order, which means that the generalized Kolakoski sequence is uniformly reccurent. In Section 10, we give a new proof of smooth infinite words being closed under reversal for 2-letter odd alphabets. Finally we end this paper with some concluding remarks in Section 11.

2. Definitions and notation

Let $\Sigma_n = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$, where $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$ are positive integers with $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$, Σ_n^* denotes the free monoid over Σ_n. A *finite word* over Σ_n is a member of Σ_n^*. If $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$, $w_i \in \Sigma_n$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ then k is called the *length* of the word w and is denoted by $|w|$. Sometimes we also use $w[i]$ to denote the ith letter w_i of the word w, that is, $w = w[1]w[2]\cdots w[k]$, and $w[i \dots j] = w[i]w[i+1]\cdots w[j]$ for $1 \le i \le j \le k$. If $|w|$=0 then w is called the *empty word* and is denoted by ε. Let $|w|_\alpha$ be the number of α which occurs in w, where $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$, then $|w| = \Sigma_{i=1}^n |w|_{a_i}$. Moreover Σ_n^+ denotes $\Sigma_n^* - \{\varepsilon\}$, $\Sigma_n^k = \{w \in \Sigma_n^* : \ |w| = k\}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

The set of all right infinite words is denoted by Σ_n^ω, and $\Sigma_n^\infty = \Sigma_n^\omega \cup \Sigma_n^*$. Given a word $w \in \Sigma_n^\infty$, a *factor* u of w is a word $u \in \Sigma_n^\infty$ such that w=xuy for $x, y \in \Sigma_n^\infty$, and $F(w)$ denotes the set of all factors of w, $F_k(w) = F(w) \cap \Sigma_n^k$. If $x = \varepsilon$ (resp. $y = \varepsilon$) then u is called *prefix* (resp. *suffix).* A *run* (or *block*) is a maximal factor of form $u = \alpha^k, \alpha \in \Sigma_n$. *Pref*$(w)$ denotes the set of all prefixes of w, *Pref*$_n(w)$ denotes the prefix of length n of w. Finally N denotes the set of all natural numbers, $\mathcal{N}^*$ and $\mathcal{N}^\omega$ denote the free monoid and the set of all right infinite words over $\mathcal{N}$ respectively, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the set of positive integers.

Let $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k \in \Sigma_n^*$, where $u_i \in \Sigma_n$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots u_k$. The *reversal* of u

is the word $\tilde{u} = u_k \cdots u_2 u_1$. A *palindrome* is a word P such that $P = \tilde{P}$. Let $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots \in \Sigma_n^\infty$, where $u_i \in \Sigma_n,\ i = 1, 2, \cdots$. The *permutation* $\sigma(u)$ of u is the word $\sigma(u) = \sigma(u_1)\sigma(u_2)\cdots$, where σ is a permutation of Σ_n. Especially, if $n = 2$, then Σ_2 has an unique nonidentical permutation$\bar{\ }$, which is determined by $\bar{a}_1 = a_2,\ \bar{a}_2 = a_1$ and is also said to be the *complement*.

An infinite word w is *recurrent* if every factor has infinitely many occurrences. And an infinite word w is *uniformly recurrent* if every factor appears infinitely often with bounded gap.

We see that every word $w \in \Sigma_n^\infty$ can be exclusively written as a product of factors as follows:

$$w = \alpha_1^{i_1}\alpha_2^{i_2}\alpha_3^{i_3}\cdots, \text{ where } \alpha_j \in \Sigma_n,\ \alpha_j \neq \alpha_{j+1} \text{ and } i_j \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{\omega\} \text{ for } j \in \mathcal{N}. \tag{2.1}$$

From (2.1) it is obvious that w is uniquely determined by the sequences $\prod_{j\geq 1} i_j$ and $\prod_{j\geq 1} \alpha_j$, which are respectively said to be the *base sequence* and *power index sequence* of w, and be respectively denoted by $\Delta(w)$ and $\mathcal{B}(w)$. That is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta: &\quad \Sigma_n^* \to \mathcal{N}^*, \text{ defined by} \\
\Delta(w) &= i_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots = \prod_{k\geq 1} i_k; \qquad (2.2)\\
\mathcal{B}: &\quad \Sigma_n^* \to \Sigma_n^*, \text{ defined by} \\
\mathcal{B}(w) &= \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\cdots = \prod_{k\geq 1} \alpha_k, \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

which is easily extended to Σ_n^ω (also refers to [2]). From (2.1-2.3) it immediately follows that

$$w \in \Sigma_n^* \text{ is a palindrome} \Longleftrightarrow \Delta(w) \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(w) \text{ are both palindromes.} \tag{2.4}$$

The function $(\Delta,\ \mathcal{B})$ gives the run-length coding (RLE) on Σ_n^*, which is a very simple form of data compression in which runs of data are stored as a single data value and count, rather than as the original run. Run-length encoding performs lossless data compression and is well suited to palette-based iconic images. For example, it is used in fax machines and is relatively efficient because most faxed documents are mostly white space, with occasional interruptions of black.

Remark 1: If $w \in \Sigma_n^\omega$ in (2.1) and $|\mathcal{B}(w)| = k$, then $\alpha_k = \omega$. For example, $w = 2^3 4^2 3^4 4^\omega \in \{2, 3, 4\}^\omega$, then $\Delta(w) = 324\omega$ and $\mathcal{B}(w) = 2434$.

For any $w \in \Sigma_n^\infty$, *first*(w) denotes the first letter of the word w. For each $w \in \Sigma_n^*$, *last*(w) denotes the last letter of the word w. It is clear that the operator Δ satisfies the property: $\Delta(uv) = \Delta(u)\Delta(v)$ if and only if $\mathit{last}(u) \neq \mathit{first}(v)$.

Since $\Delta(w)$ is independent of the choice of the base sequence $\mathcal{B}(w)$, the function Δ is not injective because $\Delta(\sigma w) = \Delta(w)$ for every word w and any permutation σ of Σ_n. For instance, if $w = 2^2 1^3 3^5 7^6 (4^3 5^2 6^4)^{1000}$ then $\Delta(w) = 2356(324)^{1000}$ and $\mathcal{B}(w) = 2137(456)^{1000}$. In fact, over $\Sigma_7 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$, there are $7 \cdot 6^{1003}$ different choices of the base sequence $\mathcal{B}(w)$ having the same power exponent sequence $2356(324)^{1000}$ with the word w. In general, for each word w over Σ_n $(n \geq 2)$, if $|\Delta(w)| = k$, then there are $n(n-1)^{k-1}$ different words over Σ_n having the same power index sequence $\Delta(w)$ with the word w.

Let $\mathfrak{B}_n = \{u \in \Sigma_n^\omega : \ u_i \neq u_{i+1} \text{ for } i \in \mathcal{N}\}$. If $n = 2$ then $\mathfrak{B}_2 = \{(a_1a_2)^\omega, (a_2a_1)^\omega\}$; if $n > 2$ then $|\mathfrak{B}_n| = \infty$. Thus, given a sequence $B \in \mathfrak{B}_n$, the *pseudo-inverse function*

$\Delta_B^{-1} : \Sigma_n^\infty \to \Sigma_n^\infty, \ u = u_1u_2u_3u_4\cdots$

can be defined by

$$\Delta_B^{-1}(u) = \begin{cases} b_1^{u_1} b_2^{u_2} b_3^{u_3} \cdots, & \text{if } u \in \Sigma^\omega; \\ b_1^{u_1} b_2^{u_2} \cdots b_k^{u_k}, & \text{if } |u| = k. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Then the following property is immediate:

$\forall u \in \Sigma_n^\infty : \sigma\Delta_B^{-1}(u) = \Delta_{\sigma B}^{-1}(u)$, where σ is a permutation of Σ_n;

$\forall u \in \Sigma_n^* : \widetilde{\Delta_B^{-1}(\tilde{u})} = \Delta_{\widetilde{B}}^{-1}(u)$.

Now we first generalize the notion of finite differentiable word over the alphabet $\{1, 2\}$, which was given first by Dekking [11], to the one of infinite differentiable word over any n-letter alphabet Σ_n.

Definition 1. Let $w = \alpha_1^{t_1}\alpha_2^{t_2}\cdots \in \Sigma_n^\omega$ and k be a fixed positive integer.

(1) If $\Delta^i(w) \in \Sigma_n^\omega$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, then we call w a *k-times differentiable infinite word* over Σ_n;

(2) If $\Delta^k(w) \in \Sigma_n^\omega$ for any positive integer k then we call w a *C_n^ω-word* (or a *smooth infinite word*) over Σ_n.

For example, let $w = (3^3 1^3 3^3 1 3 1 3^3 1^3 3^3 1 3^3 1 3^3 1^3 3^3 1 3 1 3^3 1^3 3^3 1 3^3 1^3 3^3 1)^\omega$ then w is a 4-times differentiable word, but it is not a 5-times differentiable word over $\{1, 3\}$.

Secondly we extend the notion of differentiable words over the alphabet $\{1, 2\}$ to over arbitrary n-letters alphabet Σ_n.

Definition 2. Let $w \in \Sigma_n^*$ and $w = \alpha_1^{t_1}\alpha_2^{t_2}\cdots\alpha_k^{t_k}$, where $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_n$ and $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, and $1 \leq t_i \leq a_n$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$.

If $t_i \in \Sigma_n$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots, k-1$, then we call that w is *differentiable*, and its *derivative*, denoted by $D(w)$, is the word whose jth symbol equals the length of the jth run of w, discarding the first and/or the last run if its length is less than a_n.

Let $w \in \Sigma_n^*$ and k be a fixed positive integer, if $D^k(w) \in \Sigma_n^*$ then we call w a *k-times differentiable word* over Σ_n, or a *C_n^k-word*. If a finite word w is arbitrarily often differentiable, then we call w a *C_n^∞-word*, or a *smooth word*. Obviously, if w is a smooth word and $|w| > 0$, then $|D(w)| < |w|$. Moreover, it is clear that D is an operator from Σ_n^* to Σ_n^* and

$$D(w) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon, & \Delta(w) = yz, \text{ where } y+z \geq 1, \ y, \ z < a_n \text{ or } w = \varepsilon \\ \Delta(w), & \Delta(w) = a_n x a_n \text{ or } \Delta(w) = a_n \\ x a_n, & \Delta(w) = y x a_n \text{ and } 1 \leq y < a_n \\ a_n x, & \Delta(w) = a_n x z \text{ and } 1 \leq z < a_n \\ x, & \Delta(w) = y x z \text{ and } 1 \leq y, \ z < a_n \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that finite factors of smooth infinite words are all C^∞-words. Thus finite smooth words [2], which are finite factors of smooth infinite words, are always C^∞-words.

Let $w = \alpha^{b-1}\overbrace{\bar{\alpha}^b\alpha^b\cdots\beta^b}^{b}\bar{\beta}^{b-1} \in C^\infty$, where if $2 \mid b$ then $\beta = \alpha$, otherwise $\beta = \bar{\alpha}$, if $b - a > 1$ then w can not occur in any smooth infinite word, so C^∞-words are not always finite smooth words.

In what follows, we use C_n^k and $C_n^{k\omega}$ to stand for the sets of all k-times differentiable finite words and all k-times differentiable infinite words respectively.

It is easy to check that Δ and D all commute with the mirror image $(\tilde{\ })$ and are stable for every permutation σ over the alphabet Σ_n. Thus Proposition 4 in [5] still holds for arbitrary n-letter alphabets.

Lemma 3. Let σ be a permutation of Σ_n, then

(1) For all $u \in \Sigma_n^*$, $D(\tilde{u}) = \widetilde{D(u)}$, $D(\sigma u) = D(u)$;

(2) For all $u \in \Sigma_n^\infty$, $\Delta(\tilde{u}) = \widetilde{\Delta(u)}$, $\Delta(\sigma u) = \Delta(u)$ ([2] Proposition 1 (a)-(b)). □

These properties indicate that C_n^∞, C_n^ω, C_n^k and $C_n^{k\omega}$ are all closed under these operators:

$w \in C_n^\infty \Longleftrightarrow \sigma w, \tilde{w} \in C_n^\infty$;

$w \in C_n^{\omega} \Longleftrightarrow \sigma w \in C_n^{\omega};$
$w \in C_n^{k} \Longleftrightarrow \sigma w, \tilde{w} \in C_n^{k};$
$w \in C_n^{k\omega} \Longleftrightarrow \sigma w \in C_n^{k\omega}.$

3. Fixpoints of the operator Δ

The operator Δ over Σ_2^{ω} ($a_1 = a$, $a_2 = b$) has exactly two fixpoints, that is $\Delta(K_{a,b}) = K_{a,b}$, $\Delta(K_{b,a}) = K_{b,a}$, where $K_{a,b}$ (or $K_{b,a}$) is an infinite sequence over the alphabet Σ_2 which starts with a (or b) and equals the sequence defined by its run lengths: if $a > 1$ then

$K_{a,b} = \underbrace{a^a b^a \cdots \alpha^a}_{a} \underbrace{\bar{\alpha}^b \alpha^b \cdots b^b}_{a} \cdots$, where $\alpha = b$ if a is even, otherwise $\alpha = a$,

$K_{b,a} = \underbrace{b^b a^b \cdots \beta^b}_{b} \underbrace{\bar{\beta}^a \alpha^a \cdots a^a}_{b} \cdots$, where $\beta = a$ if b is even, or else $\beta = b$,

which are called the generalized Kolakoski sequences.

If $n > 2$, then the operator Δ over Σ_n^{ω} has infinitely many fixpoints (see [2] Concluding remarks). In fact, we can determine all the fixpoints of the operator Δ. To do so, let $Fix(\Delta)$ denote the set of all fixed points of the operator Δ and $y = y_1^{t_1} y_2^{t_2} y_3^{t_3} \cdots \in Fix(\Delta)$, where $y_1 y_2 \cdots y_i \cdots \in \mathfrak{B}_n$. Then by $y = \Delta(y)$, we have $t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = t_{y_1} = y_1$, $t_{y_1+1} = t_{y_1+2} = \cdots = t_{y_1+y_2} = y_2 \cdots$, $t_{(y_1-1)\cdot y_1+1} = t_{(y_1-1)\cdot y_1+2} = \cdots = t_{y_1 \cdot y_1} = y_{y_1}$, $\cdots$, it immediately follows that

Theorem 4. Let $\Sigma_n = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $Fix(\Delta) = \{K_u : \ u \in \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ and

$$K_u = u_1^{u_1} u_2^{u_1} \cdots u_{u_1}^{u_1} u_{u_1+1}^{u_2} u_{u_1+2}^{u_2} \cdots u_{u_1+u_1}^{u_2} \cdots u_{(u_1-1)\cdot u_1+1}^{u_{u_1}} \cdots u_{u_1 \cdot u_1}^{u_{u_1}} \cdots , \tag{3.1}$$

where $u = u_1 u_2 u_3 \cdots$. $\square$

The sequences satisfying (3.1) are said to be the generalized Kolakoski sequences. Theorem 4 suggests that if $n > 2$ then there are infinitely many generalized Kolokoski sequences over the n-letters alphabet Σ_n. Moreover, it is obvious that the generalized Kolakoski word K_u over Σ_n is a smooth infinite word for every $u \in \mathfrak{B}_n$.

4. Letter frequencies

Keane [17] put forward whether the density of $1'$s in K is 0.5. It is still a challenging problem. Actually, the best upper density of $1'$s as well as the upper density of $2'$s in

K is 0.50084 and is due to Chvátal [9]. Brlek, Jamet and Paquin [4] showed that the frequency of letters in extremal words is 1/2 for even alphabets, and for $a = 1$ with b odd, the frequency of b's is $1/(\sqrt{2b-1}+1)$. Hereinafter we establish the frequency of letters in 2-times differentiable well-proportioned infinite words for arbitrary n-letters alphabets with each member being a multiple of n.

Lemma 5. Let $u = \alpha_1^{t_1}\alpha_2^{t_2}\cdots\alpha_k^{t_k}$, where $\alpha_i,\ t_i \in \Sigma_n = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$, $1 \le i \le k$, and $n \mid a_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. And let $m = |u| = \Sigma_{i=1}^{k} t_i$, then for any $v = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_m \in \Sigma_n^*$ such that $\{v_{i\cdot n+1}, v_{i\cdot n+2}, \cdots, v_{i\cdot n+n}\} = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$, where $i = 0, 1, \cdots, p$ and $m = n \cdot p$, one has

$$|\Delta_v^{-m}(u)|_{a_i} = \frac{|\Delta_v^{-m}(u)|}{n} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Since $t_1,\ t_2,\ \cdots, t_k \in \Sigma_n$ and $n \mid a_i$, we see that $t_i = n \cdot s_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_v^{-m}(u) \quad = \quad & \overbrace{v_1^{\alpha_1} v_2^{\alpha_1} \cdots v_n^{\alpha_1} v_{n+1}^{\alpha_1} v_{n+2}^{\alpha_1} \cdots v_{n+n}^{\alpha_1} \cdots v_{(s_1-1)n+1}^{\alpha_1} v_{(s_1-1)n+2}^{\alpha_1} \cdots v_{(s_1-1)n+n}^{\alpha_1}}^{t_1} \\ & \overbrace{v_{t_1+1}^{\alpha_2} v_{t_1+2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots v_{t_1+n}^{\alpha_2} v_{t_1+n+1}^{\alpha_2} v_{t_1+n+2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots v_{t_1+2\cdot n}^{\alpha_2} \cdots v_{q-n+1}^{\alpha_2} v_{q-n+2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots v_q^{\alpha_2}}^{t_2} \\ & \cdots \\ & \overbrace{v_{h+1}^{\alpha_k} v_{h+2}^{\alpha_k} \cdots v_{h+n}^{\alpha_k} v_{h+n+1}^{\alpha_k} v_{h+n+2}^{\alpha_k} \cdots v_{h+2\cdot n}^{\alpha_k} \cdots v_{m-n+1}^{\alpha_k} v_{m-n+2}^{\alpha_k} \cdots v_m^{\alpha_k}}^{t_k}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $q = t_1 + t_2$, $h = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{k-1}$. Since $n \mid t_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $\{v_{i\cdot n+1}, v_{i\cdot n+2}, \cdots, v_{i\cdot n+n}\} = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, p$, we easily see that there are equal numbers of $a_1^{\alpha_i}, a_2^{\alpha_i}, \cdots$, and $a_n^{\alpha_i}$ in the subsequence

$$\overbrace{v_{b_i+1}^{\alpha_i} v_{b_i+2}^{\alpha_i} \cdots v_{b_i+n}^{\alpha_i} v_{b_i+n+1}^{\alpha_i} v_{b_i+n+2}^{\alpha_i} \cdots v_{b_i+2\cdot n}^{\alpha_i} \cdots v_{b_i+t_k-n+1}^{\alpha_i} v_{b_i+t_k-n+2}^{\alpha_i} \cdots v_{b_i+t_k}^{\alpha_i}}^{t_i}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, where $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_n$, $b_1 = 0$ and $b_i = t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 3, \cdots, k$. Therefore there are equal numbers of $a_1^{\alpha_i}, a_2^{\alpha_i}, \cdots$, and $a_n^{\alpha_i}$ in the right side of (4.2), which suggest that (4.1) holds. □

Remark 2: From the proof of Lemma 5, we easily see that Lemma 5 also holds if the condition $t_i \in \Sigma_n$ is substituted by t_i being divided by n.

Definition 6. Let $w \in \Sigma_n^\omega$. If the base sequence $\mathcal{B}(w) = \alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_k\cdots$ satisfies

$$\{\alpha_{i\cdot n+1}, \alpha_{i\cdot n+2}, \cdots, \alpha_{i\cdot n+n}\} = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\} \text{ for } \forall\, i \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{4.3}$$

then w is said to be *well-proportioned.*

Now we are in a position to prove our second main result.

Theorem 7. Let w be a two times differentiable well-proportioned infinite word over the n-letters alphabet $\Sigma_n = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$. If $n \mid a_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{|W_k|_{a_i}}{|W_k|} = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n, \tag{4.4}$$

where $W_k = w[1\cdots k] = \mathit{Pref}_k(w)$.

Proof. Since $\Delta^2(w) \in \Sigma_n^\omega$ we have

$$\Delta(w) = \gamma_1^{t_1}\gamma_2^{t_2}\cdots\gamma_r^{t_r}\cdots, \text{ where } \gamma_i,\ t_i \in \Sigma_n \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus (4.5) suggests

$$w = \lim_{i\to\infty} \Delta^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}(w)_i}(\Delta(w)_i), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathcal{B}(w)_i = \mathit{Pref}_i(\mathcal{B}(w))$, $\Delta(w)_i = \mathit{Pref}_i(\Delta(w))$. Therefore from (4.6) we see that for each $k \in \mathcal{N}$, there is a positive integer i such that

$$W_k = \Delta^{-1}_{\mathcal{B}(w)_i}(\Delta(w)_i)v, \text{ where } v \in \Sigma_n^* \text{ and } |v| \le a_n^{a_n}. \tag{4.7}$$

Now (4.3), (4.7) and (4.1) imply that (4.4) holds. $\square$

Since infinite words over 2-letter alphabets are always well-proportioned, from Theorem 7 we can deduce

Corollary 8. The frequency of letters in 2-times differentiable infinite words is $1/2$ for 2-letter even alphabets. $\square$

Furthermore from Theorem 7 it immediately follows that

Corollary 9. If $n \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then the frequency of letters in well-proportioned smooth infinite words is $1/n$ for the alphabet Σ_n. $\square$

5. Permutation

Kimberling [18] first raised whether or not the complement of a finite factor of Kolakoski sequence K is still a factor of K and whether or not every finite factor of K occurs infinitely often (recurrence). Dekking [11] showed that the closure of $F(K)$ by complementation would imply the recurrence property. These conjectures were stated for every smooth infinite word over $\{1,2\}$ in [3]. Brlek etc. [5] proved that the existence of arbitrarily long palindromes in infinite smooth words on $\{1,2\}$ would imply the recurrence property. Recently Brlek etc. [4] showed that the closure of $F(w)$ under reversal holds for odd alphabets only ([4] Proposition 15) and that the set of factors of extremal smooth words is not closed under reversal and under complementation ([4] Proposition 26) for even alphabets. Corollary 9 (iii) in [4] implies that the set of factors of extremal smooth words on $\{1,3\}$ is not closed under complementation. Next we establish the corresponding result for n-letter alphabets having same remainder when divided by n. And the proof seems to be slightly more essential and straightforward.

Theorem 10. Let $\Sigma_n = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $a_i \equiv r \pmod{n}$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, where $r = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$. Suppose that $w \in C_n^{3\omega}$ and $\mathcal{B}(w) = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n)^\omega$, $\mathcal{B}(\Delta(w)) = (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^\omega$, $\mathcal{B}(\Delta^2(w)) = (e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n)^\omega$, where $b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$, $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ and $e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ are arrangements of $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$. Then $F(w)$ is not closed under any nonidentical permutation.

Proof. Since $w \in C_n^{3\omega}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Delta^2(w)) = (e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n)^\omega$, we have

$$\Delta^2(w) = e_1^{t_1} e_2^{t_2} \cdots e_n^{t_n} e_1^{t_{n+1}} e_2^{t_{n+2}} \cdots e_n^{t_{2n}} \cdots e_1^{t_{k\cdot n+1}} e_2^{t_{k\cdot n+2}} \cdots e_n^{t_{(k+1)\cdot n}} \cdots \tag{5.1}$$

where $t_i \in \Sigma_n$ for $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

From e_i, $t_i \in \Sigma_n$, we get $e_i = n \cdot q_i + r$, $q_i \in N$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$; $t_i = n \cdot h_i + r, h_i \in N$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, where $r = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$. Now let $c_{n\cdot k+i} = c_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $k \in \mathcal{N}$, then note that $c_{n\cdot k} = c_n$, by $\mathcal{B}(\Delta(w)) = (c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)^\omega$ and (5.1), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w) \quad = \quad & (c_1^{e_1} c_2^{e_1} \cdots c_n^{e_1})^{h_1} c_1^{e_1} c_2^{e_1} \cdots c_r^{e_1} (c_{r+1}^{e_2} c_{r+2}^{e_2} \cdots c_r^{e_2})^{h_2} c_{r+1}^{e_2} c_{r+2}^{e_2} \cdots c_{2r}^{e_2} \cdots \\ & (c_{(n-1)r+1}^{e_n} c_{(n-1)r+2}^{e_n} \cdots c_{(n-1)r}^{e_n})^{h_n} c_{(n-1)r+1}^{e_n} c_{(n-1)r+2}^{e_n} \cdots c_{n\cdot r}^{e_n} \\ & \\ & (c_1^{e_1} c_2^{e_1} \cdots c_n^{e_1})^{h_{n+1}} c_1^{e_1} c_2^{e_1} \cdots c_r^{e_1} (c_{r+1}^{e_2} c_{r+2}^{e_2} \cdots c_r^{e_2})^{h_{n+2}} c_{r+1}^{e_2} c_{r+2}^{e_2} \cdots c_{2r}^{e_2} \cdots \\ & (c_{(n-1)r+1}^{e_n} c_{(n-1)r+2}^{e_n} \cdots c_{(n-1)r}^{e_n})^{h_{2n}} c_{(n-1)r+1}^{e_n} c_{(n-1)r+2}^{e_n} \cdots c_{n\cdot r}^{e_n} \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Let $b_{n\cdot i+j} = b_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $i \in \mathcal{N}$, then note that $b_{n\cdot i} = b_n$, from $\mathcal{B}(w) = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n)^{\omega}$ and (5.2) it immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w \;=\; & [(b_1^{c_1} b_2^{c_1} \cdots b_n^{c_1})^{q_1} b_1^{c_1} b_2^{c_1} \cdots b_r^{c_1} (b_{r+1}^{c_2} b_{r+2}^{c_2} \cdots b_r^{c_2})^{q_1} b_{r+1}^{c_2} b_{r+2}^{c_2} \cdots b_{2\cdot r}^{c_2} \cdots \\ & (b_{(n-1)r+1}^{c_n} b_{(n-1)r+2}^{c_n} \cdots b_{(n-1)r}^{c_n})^{q_1} b_{(n-1)r+1}^{c_n} b_{(n-1)r+2}^{c_n} \cdots b_{n\cdot r}^{c_n}]^{h_1} \\ & (b_1^{c_1} b_2^{c_1} \cdots b_n^{c_1})^{q_1} b_1^{c_1} b_2^{c_1} \cdots b_r^{c_1} (b_{r+1}^{c_2} b_{r+2}^{c_2} \cdots b_r^{c_2})^{q_1} b_{r+1}^{c_2} b_{r+2}^{c_2} \cdots b_{2\cdot r}^{c_2} \cdots \\ & (b_{(r-1)r+1}^{c_r} b_{(r-1)r+2}^{c_r} \cdots b_{(r-1)r}^{c_r})^{q_1} b_{(r-1)r+1}^{c_r} b_{(r-1)r+2}^{c_r} \cdots b_{r^2}^{c_r} \\ & [(b_{r^2+1}^{c_{r+1}} \cdots b_{r^2}^{c_{r+1}})^{q_2} b_{r^2+1}^{c_{r+1}} \cdots b_{r^2+r}^{c_{r+1}} (b_{r^2+r+1}^{c_{r+2}} \cdots b_{r^2+r}^{c_{r+2}})^{q_2} b_{r^2+r+1}^{c_{r+2}} \cdots b_{r^2+2\cdot r}^{c_{r+2}} \cdots \\ & (b_{r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_r} \cdots b_{r^2+(n-1)r}^{c_r})^{q_2} b_{r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_r} \cdots b_{r^2}^{c_r}]^{h_2} \\ & (b_{r^2+1}^{c_{r+1}} \cdots b_{r^2}^{c_{r+1}})^{q_2} b_{r^2+1}^{c_{r+1}} \cdots b_{r^2+r}^{c_{r+1}} (b_{r^2+r+1}^{c_{r+2}} \cdots b_{r^2+r}^{c_{r+2}})^{q_2} b_{r^2+r+1}^{c_{r+2}} \cdots b_{r^2+2\cdot r}^{c_{r+2}} \cdots \\ & (b_{r^2+(r-1)r+1}^{c_{2r}} \cdots b_{r^2+(r-1)r}^{c_{2r}})^{q_2} b_{r^2+(r-1)r+1}^{c_{2r}} \cdots b_{2r^2}^{c_{2r}} \cdots \\ & [(b_{(n-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}})^{q_n} b_{(n-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}} \\ & (b_{(n-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}})^{q_n} b_{(n-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+2\cdot r}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}} \cdots \\ & (b_{(n-1)r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_{(n-1)r}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+(n-1)r}^{c_{(n-1)r}})^{q_n} b_{(n-1)r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_{(n-1)r}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2}^{c_{(n-1)r}}]^{h_n} \\ & (b_{(n-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}})^{q_n} b_{(n-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(n-1)r+1}} \\ & (b_{(n-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}})^{q_n} b_{(n-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+2\cdot r}^{c_{(n-1)r+2}} \cdots \\ & (b_{(n-1)r^2+(r-1)r+1}^{c_{nr}} \cdots b_{(n-1)r^2+(r-1)r}^{c_{nr}})^{q_n} b_{(n-1)r^2+(r-1)r+1}^{c_{nr}} \cdots b_{nr^2}^{c_{nr}} \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

From (5.2, 5.3) we see that the maximal factors of w, which are composed of consecutive runs of the same length, must be one of the following forms.

$$\begin{gathered} \overbrace{(b_{(j-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(j-1)r+1}} \cdots b_{(j-1)r^2+n}^{c_{(j-1)r+1}})^{q_j} b_{(j-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(j-1)r+1}} \cdots b_{(j-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(j-1)r+1}}}^{e_j}, \\ \overbrace{(b_{(j-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(j-1)r+2}} \cdots b_{(j-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(j-1)r+2}})^{q_j} b_{(j-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(j-1)r+2}} \cdots b_{(j-1)r^2+2\cdot r}^{c_{(j-1)r+2}}}^{e_j}, \\ \vdots \\ \overbrace{(b_{(j-1)r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_{(j-1)r+n}} \cdots b_{(j-1)r^2+(n-1)r}^{c_{(j-1)r+n}})^{q_j} b_{(j-1)r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_{(j-1)r+n}} \cdots b_{(j-1)r^2+n\cdot r}^{c_{(j-1)r+n}}}^{e_j}, \end{gathered} \tag{5.4}$$

where $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Note that by (5.4), the length of all possible maximal factors of w, which is composed of consecutive runs of the same length, is respectively equal to e_j for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Since $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n$ is an arrangement of $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$, there is some $k \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $e_k = a_n$. Thus on the one hand, since $e_k = a_n$, taking $j = k$ in (5.4), we get

$$\overbrace{(b_{(k-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(k-1)r+1}}\cdots b_{(k-1)r^2+n}^{c_{(k-1)r+1}})^{q_k} b_{(k-1)r^2+1}^{c_{(k-1)r+1}}\cdots b_{(k-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(k-1)r+1}}}^{a_n},$$

$$\overbrace{(b_{(k-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(k-1)r+2}}\cdots b_{(k-1)r^2+r}^{c_{(k-1)r+2}})^{q_k} b_{(k-1)r^2+r+1}^{c_{(k-1)r+2}}\cdots b_{(k-1)r^2+2\cdot r}^{c_{(k-1)r+2}}}^{a_n},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\overbrace{(b_{(k-1)r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_{(k-1)r+n}}\cdots b_{(k-1)r^2+(n-1)r}^{c_{(k-1)r+n}})^{q_k} b_{(k-1)r^2+(n-1)r+1}^{c_{(k-1)r+n}}\cdots b_{(k-1)r^2+n\cdot r}^{c_{(k-1)r+n}}}^{a_n}. \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, since $a_n > a_j$ for $j < n$, from (5.4) we see that the maximal factors of the word w, which are composed of consecutive runs of the same length and are of the greatest length, have all occurred in (5.5). Therefore, from $c_{(k-1)r+1}, c_{(k-1)r+2}, \cdots, c_{(k-1)r+n}$ being an arrangement of $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$, it follows that for any nonidentical permutation σ of Σ_n, the image of each member of (5.5) under the permutation σ cannot occur in (5.5), which means that w is not closed under any nonidentical permutation. $\square$

From the proof of Theorem 10, we easily see that two times differentiable infinite words are not closed under complementation for 2-letter alphabets having same parity.

6. The bijection Φ from $\mathcal{C}_n^\omega$ to Σ_n^ω

From now on, similar to [2] concluding remarks, we need to assume a cyclic order $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$ of the alphabet Σ_n such that the base sequence of every word is compatible with this order, where $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n$ is a fixed arrangement of the elements $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n$ of the alphabet Σ_n. And suppose that $b_{t\cdot n+i} = b_i$ for any $t \in N$ and $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Note that if $n = 2$, then $\mathbf{a_1 a_2}$ is the unique cyclic order of Σ_2. In fact, there are exactly $(n-1)!$ different cyclic orders of Σ_n. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_n^k = \{w \in C_n^k : \mathcal{B}(w) \text{ is compatible with } \mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}\}; \tag{6.1}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_n^\infty = \{w \in C_n^\infty : \mathcal{B}(w) \text{ is compatible with } \mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}\}; \tag{6.2}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_n^\omega = \{w \in C_n^\omega : \mathcal{B}(w) \text{ is compatible with } \mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}\}. \tag{6.3}$$

Remark 3: Note that $\mathcal{C}_2^k = C_2^k$, $\mathcal{C}_2^\infty = C_2^\infty$, $\mathcal{C}_2^\omega = C_2^\omega$.

In what follows, the pseudo-inverse function Δ_α^{-1} ($\alpha \in \Sigma_n$) is compatible with the cyclic order $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$ of the alphabet Σ_n. Let $p = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_k$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k \in \Sigma_n$, we use $\Delta_p^{-k}(u)$ to denote $\Delta_{\alpha_1}^{-1}\Delta_{\alpha_2}^{-1}\cdots\Delta_{\alpha_k}^{-1}(u)$. Clearly, if $p = p_1 p_2$ then

$\Delta_p^{-|p|}(u) = \Delta_{p_1}^{-|p_1|}\Delta_{p_2}^{-|p_2|}(u)$.

For example, given a cyclic order **243** of the alphabet $\{2, 3, 4\}$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_2^{-1}(24) & = & 2^2 4^4; \\ \Delta_{232}^{-3}(24) & = & 2^3 4^3 3^2 2^2 4^4 3^4 2^4 4^4 3^3 2^3 4^3 3^3 2^2 4^2 3^2 2^2 4^4 3^4 2^4 4^4 \\ & = & \Delta_2^{-1}\Delta_{32}^{-2}(24) \\ & = & \Delta_{23}^{-2}\Delta_2^{-1}(24). \end{array}$$

In order to study infinitely often differentiable infinite words of any period over $\{1, 2\}$, Dekking [12] established a bijection from C_2^ω to Σ_2^ω. Similarly, we can define a bijection from $\mathcal{C}_n^\omega$ to Σ_n^ω as below:

$\Phi : \mathcal{C}_n^\omega \longrightarrow \Sigma_n^\omega$

which is constructed by setting

$$\Phi(w)[j+1] = \Delta^j(w)[1], \text{ for } j \geq 0, \tag{6.4}$$

and its inverse is defined as follows:

$$\Phi^{-1}(u) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_{u[1\cdots k-1]}^{-(k-1)}(u[k]). \tag{6.5}$$

In the sequel, for any $u \in \Sigma^\infty$ and $1 \leq i \leq |u|$, U_i denotes $u[1\cdots i]$ and

$$\Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots k]) = \Delta_{u[1\cdots k-1]}^{-(k-1)}(u[k]), \tag{6.6}$$

which determines a function from Σ_n^+ to Σ_n^+. Then by (6.5-6.6), we get

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \Phi^{-1}(u) & = & \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots k]) & (6.7) \\ & = & \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \Phi^{-1}(U_k). & (6.8) \end{array}$$

Obviously, $K_{b,a} = \Phi^{-1}(b^\omega)$ and $K_{a,b} = \Phi^{-1}(a^\omega)$.

7. Some Lemmas

The following simple results are important in the sequel. First of all, from the definition of the operator Δ_α^{-1} ($\alpha \in \Sigma_n$) it immediately follows that

Lemma 11. Let $u \in \Sigma_n^+$, $v \in \Sigma_n^+$ (Σ_n^ω) and $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$.

(1) If $|u| = q \cdot n + k$, where $q \in \mathcal{N}$ and $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, then

$\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(uv) = \Delta_\alpha^{-1}(u)\Delta_{b_j}^{-1}(v)$, where $\alpha = b_i$ and $b_j = b_{i+k}$;

(2) If $n \mid |u|$, then

$\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(uv) = \Delta_\alpha^{-1}(u)\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(v)$. $\square$

The following Lemma 12 (1) is a generalization of Brlek et al. [4] Lemma 21.

Lemma 12. Let $w \in \Sigma_n^+$, $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$.

(1) If $a_1, a_2, \cdots,$ and a_n when divided by n have the same remainder and $|w|$ is a multiple of n, then the length of $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)$ is also a multiple of n;

(2) If $n = 2$, $a_1,\ a_2$ are odd integers and w has odd length, then $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)$ also has odd length;

(3) If $n = 2$, $a_1,\ a_2$ are odd integers and w is a palindrome of odd length, then $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)$ is also a palindrome of odd length.

Proof. (1) Let $w = \alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_{n\cdot k}$, where $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_n$ for $i \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w) = \alpha^{\alpha_1}\bar{\alpha}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\bar{\alpha}^{\alpha_{n\cdot k}}$. Thus since all α_1, α_2 $\cdots$, and $a_{n\cdot k}$ when divided by n have the same remainder, we have

$$|\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)| = \sum_{i=1}^{n\cdot k} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n\cdot k}(n \cdot q_i + r) = n \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n\cdot k} q_i + k \cdot r),$$

where $\alpha_i = n \cdot q_i + r,\ 0 \leq r \leq n-1$, which suggests that the length of $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)$ is a multiple of n;

(2) Let $w = \alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_{2k+1}$, where $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_2$, then since $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w) = \alpha^{\alpha_1}\bar{\alpha}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\alpha^{\alpha_{2k+1}}$ and all α_1, α_2, $\cdots$ α_{2k+1} are odd integers, we see that $|\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)| = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \alpha_i$ is also an odd integer;

(3) Note that if w has odd length, then it is clear that $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{w}) = \widetilde{\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)}$. Thus if w is a palindrome of odd length, then $\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(w)$ is also a palindrome of odd length. □

From Lemmas 11-12, it easily follows that

Lemma 13. Let $w = uv$, $p = \alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_k$, where $u \in \Sigma_n^+$, $v \in \Sigma_n^+$ (Σ^ω), $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_n$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots k$.

(1) If $a_1, a_2, \cdots,$ and a_n when divided by n have the same remainder and $|u|$ is a multiple of n, then

$$\Delta_p^{-k}(uv) = \Delta_p^{-k}(u)\Delta_p^{-k}(v);$$

(2) If $n = 2$, $a_1,\ a_2$ are odd integers and u has odd length, then

$$\Delta_p^{-k}(uv) = \Delta_p^{-k}(u)\Delta_{\bar{p}}^{-k}(v).$$ □

Furthermore from Lemma 13 immediately follows:

Lemma 14. Let $w = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$, $p = \alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_k$, where $v_j \in \Sigma_n^+$, $v_n \in \Sigma_n^+$ (Σ_n^ω), $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_n$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

(1) If $a_1, a_2, \cdots$ and a_n when divided by n have the same remainder and $|v_i|$ is a multiple of n for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, then

$$\Delta_p^{-k}(w) = \Delta_p^{-k}(v_1)\Delta_p^{-k}(v_2)\cdots\Delta_p^{-k}(v_n); \tag{7.1}$$

(2) If $n = 2$ and $a_1,\ a_2$ are odd integers and all v_j $(1 \leq j \leq n-1)$ have odd length, then

$$\Delta_p^{-k}(w) = \Delta_p^{-k}(v_1)\Delta_{\bar{p}}^{-k}(v_2)\cdots\Delta_q^{-k}(v_n), \tag{7.2}$$

where if $2 \mid n$ then $q = \bar{p}$, or else $q = p$. $\square$

The function Φ^{-1} defined by (6.6) is of the following important property, which guarantees that the limit of (6.5) exists.

Lemma 15. If $u \in \mathit{Pref}(v),\ |u| = m$ and $|v| = n$, then

$$\Phi^{-1}(u) \in \mathit{Pref}(\Phi^{-1}(v)). \tag{7.3}$$

Proof. Since $u \in \mathit{Pref}(v)$, we have $v[1\cdots m] = u[1\cdots m]$. Thus by (6.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(v) &= \Delta_{v[1\cdots n-1]}^{-(n-1)}(v[n]) \\
&= \Delta_{v[1\cdots m-1]}^{-(m-1)}\Delta_{v[m]}^{-1}\Delta_{v[m+1]}^{-1}\cdots\Delta_{v[n-1]}^{-1}(v[n]) \\
&= \Delta_{u[1\cdots m-1]}^{-(m-1)}(\Delta_{u[m]}^{-1}(\Delta_{v[m+1]}^{-1}\cdots\Delta_{v[n-1]}^{-1}(v[n]))) \\
&= \Delta_{u[1\cdots m-1]}^{-(m-1)}(u[m]x) \\
&= \Delta_{u[1\cdots m-1]}^{-(m-1)}(u[m])y \\
&= \Phi^{-1}(u)y,
\end{aligned}$$

which suggests that (7.3) holds. $\square$

With respect to the usual topology defined by

$d((u_k)_{k\geq 1}, (v_k)_{k\geq 1}) := 2^{-min\{j\in\mathcal{N}:\, u_j\neq v_j\}}$,

the pseudo-inverse operator is continuous because it preserves the prefix relation between two words. The following result is of independent interest.

Lemma 16. (1) The pseudo-inverse operator commutes with the limit operator, that is, let $v \in \Sigma_n^{\omega}$, then $v = \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} V_k$ and

$$\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(\lim_{k\to\infty} V_k) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_\alpha^{-1}(V_k), \text{ where } \alpha \in \Sigma_n. \tag{7.4}$$

(2) Let $w = uv$, where $u \in \Sigma_n^+,\ v \in \Sigma_n^{\omega}$, then

$$\Phi^{-1}(w) = \Delta_u^{-|u|}(\Phi^{-1}(v)). \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. (1) Since $V_k = v[1\cdots k]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\alpha^{-1}(\lim_{k\to\infty} V_k) &= \Delta_\alpha^{-1}(v[1\cdots k\cdots]) \\ &= \alpha^{v_1} b_{i+1}^{v_2} b_{i+2}^{v_3}\cdots, \quad \text{where } \alpha = b_i \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_\alpha^{-1}(V_k).\end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $u = u[1\cdots n]$ and $v = v[1\cdots k\cdots]$, then by (7.4-7.5), we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_u^{-|u|}(\Phi^{-1}(v)) &= \Delta_u^{-|u|}(\lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_{v[1\cdots k-1]}^{-(k-1)}(v[k])) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_{uv[1\cdots k-1]}^{-(|u|+k-1)}(v[k]) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_{w[1\cdots |u|+k-1]}^{-(|u|+k-1)}(w[|u|+k]) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(w). \ \square\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is easy to get

Lemma 17. $w \in \Sigma^\omega$ is recurrent if and only if there are infinitely many prefixes $Pref_{n_{i+1}}(w)$ of w such that $Pref_{n_{i+1}}(w) = x \cdot Pref_{n_i}(w) \cdot y \cdot Pref_{n_i}(w) \cdot z$, where $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $z \in \Sigma^\omega$, $n_i < n_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. $\square$

8. Recurrence of smooth infinite words

Brlek et al. proved that smooth infinite words are recurrent for 2-letter alphabets having same parity (see Proposition 15 and Theorem 25 in [4]). We now establish that smooth infinite words are recurrent for n-letter alphabets having the same remainder when divided by n and the following proof seems to be slightly more easily understood.

Theorem 18. Let $\Sigma_n = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ with $a_i = n\cdot q_i + r$, where $0 \le r \le n-1$, $q_i \in \mathcal{N}$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then every infinite smooth word over Σ_n is recurrent.

Proof. Since Φ is a bijection from $\mathcal{C}^\omega$ to Σ^ω, by (6.5) and (6.8), for each infinite smooth word w, there is a $u \in \Sigma^\omega$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}w &= \Phi^{-1}(u) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_{u[1\cdots k-1]}^{-(k-1)}(u[k]) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi^{-1}(U_k). \qquad (8.1)\end{aligned}$$

And note that $U_k = u[1\cdots k]$, from (6.6) it immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(U_k) &= \Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots k]) \\
&= \Delta^{-(k-1)}_{u[1\cdots k-1]}(u_k) \\
&= \Delta^{-(k-2)}_{u[1\cdots k-2]}((u^n_{k-1})^{\frac{u_k - r}{n}} u^r_{k-1}) \\
&= [\Delta^{-(k-2)}_{u[1\cdots k-2]}(u^n_{k-1})]^{\frac{u_k - r}{n}} \Delta^{-(k-2)}_{u[1\cdots k-2]}(u^r_{k-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

We next divide the proof into two cases in view of the remainder r of $a_1, a_2, \cdots$ and a_n when divided by n. And let $b_{k\cdot n+i} = b_i$ for $k \in \mathcal{N}$ and $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Case 1. $r = 0$. Then by Lemma 14 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(U_k) &= [\Delta^{-(k-2)}_{u[1\cdots k-2]}(u^n_{k-1})]^{\frac{u_k}{n}} \\
&= [\Delta^{-(k-3)}_{u[1\cdots k-3]}(\overbrace{u^{u_{k-1}}_{k-2} b^{u_{k-1}}_{i+1} \cdots b^{u_{k-1}}_{i-1+n}}^{n})]^{\frac{u_k}{n}}, \text{ where } u_{k-2} = b_i \\
&= [\Delta^{-(k-3)}_{u[1\cdots k-3]}(b^{u_{k-1}}_i) \Delta^{-(k-3)}_{u[1\cdots k-3]}(b^{u_{k-1}}_{i+1}) \cdots \Delta^{-(k-3)}_{u[1\cdots k-3]}(b^{u_{k-1}}_{i-1+n})]^{\frac{u_k}{n}} \\
&= [\Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(\overbrace{u^{b_i}_{k-3} b^{b_i}_{j+1} \cdots b^{b_i}_{j-1+n}}^{u_{k-1}}) \\
&\quad \Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(\overbrace{u^{b_{i+1}}_{k-3} b^{b_{i+1}}_{j+1} \cdots b^{b_{i+1}}_{j-1+n}}^{u_{k-1}}) \cdots \\
&\quad \Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(\overbrace{u^{b_{i-1+n}}_{k-3} b^{b_{i-1+n}}_{j+1} \cdots b^{b_{i-1+n}}_{j-1+n}}^{u_{k-1}})]^{\frac{u_k}{n}}, \text{ where } u_{k-3} = b_j \\
&= [\Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(u_{k-3}) x_1 \Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(u_{k-3}) x_2 \cdots \Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(u_{k-3}) x_n]^{\frac{u_k}{n}} \\
&= [\Phi^{-1}(U_{k-3}) x_1 \Phi^{-1}(U_{k-3}) x_2 \cdots \Phi^{-1}(U_{k-3}) x_n]^{\frac{u_k}{n}},
\end{aligned}$$

which suggests that w is recurrent by Lemma 17, where $\Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(\overbrace{u^{b_{i+l}}_{k-3} b^{b_{i+l}}_{j+1} \cdots b^{b_{i+l}}_{j-1+n}}^{u_{k-1}})$ $= \Delta^{-(k-4)}_{u[1\cdots k-4]}(u_{k-3}) x_l$ for $l = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$ and $k = 5, 6, \cdots$.

Case 2. $r > 0$. Then, analogously, by Lemma 14 (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{-1}(U_k) &= [\Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u^n_{k-1})]^{\frac{u_k - r}{n}} \Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u^r_{k-1}) \\
&= [\Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u_{k-1}) x]^{\frac{u_k - r}{n}} \Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u_{k-1}) y \\
&= [\Phi^{-1}(U_{k-1}) x]^{\frac{u_k - r}{n}} \Phi^{-1}(U_{k-1}) y,
\end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

where $\Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u^n_{k-1}) = \Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u_{k-1}) x$, $\Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u^r_{k-1}) = \Delta^{-(k-2)}_{U_{k-2}}(u_{k-1}) y$.

Case 2.1. If there are infinitely many u_k such that $u_k > r$, then there exist infinitely many u_k such that $(u_k - r)/n \geq 1$. Thus from (8.3) and Lemma 17 it immediately follows that w is recurrent.

Case 2.2. $u = v \cdot r^{\omega}$ and $r = b_s$, where $v \in \Sigma^*$. Then from Lemma 16 it follows that

$$w = \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Phi^{-1}(r^{\omega})). \tag{8.4}$$

Case 2.2.1. If $r \geq 2$, then by (8.4) and Lemma 16 (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_r^{-(k-1)}(r)) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-1)}(r)) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Phi^{-1}(r^k)). \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

Let q be the smallest positive integer such that the number of runs of $\Delta_r^{-q}(r)$ is larger than n. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Phi^{-1}(r^k)) &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(\Delta_r^{-q}(r))) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r^{t_1} b_{s+1}^{t_2} \cdots b_{s+n-1}^{t_n} r^{t_{n+1}} \cdots)) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r^{t_1} b_{s+1}^{t_2} \cdots b_{s+n-1}^{t_n}) \Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r^{t_{n+1}} \cdots)) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r)) x \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r)) y \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Phi^{-1}(r^{k-q})) x \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Phi^{-1}(r^{k-q})) y, \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

where $t_i \in \Sigma_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i$ is a multiple of n,

$\Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r))x = \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r^{t_1} b_{s+1}^{t_2} \cdots b_{s+n-1}^{t_n}))$,

$\Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r^{t_{n+1}} \cdots)) = \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Delta_r^{-(k-q-1)}(r))y$.

Thus (8.5) and (8.6) mean that w is recurrent by Lemma 17.

Case 2.2.2. If $r = 1$, then by (8.4) and Lemma 16 (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\Phi^{-1}(1^{\omega})) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{\omega})) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(\lim_{k\to\infty} 1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{k})) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{k})). \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{k})) &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-1)}(b_{s+1})) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1}^{b_{s+1}})) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1}^{b_{s+1}-1})\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1})), \text{ by } n \mid (b_{s+1}-1) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}((1\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1}))x(1\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1}))) \\ &= \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{-(k-1)}))y\Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{-(k-1)})), \end{aligned}$$

which still implies that w is recurrent by Lemma 17 and (8.7), where $\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1}^{b_{s+1}-1})$ $= \Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1})x1$ and $\Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Delta_{b_{s+1}}^{-(k-2)}(b_{s+1})x) = \Delta_v^{-|v|}(1\Phi^{-1}(b_{s+1}^{-(k-1)}))y$. □

9. Primitive substitution and uniform recurrence

A substitution σ is a function from the alphabet Σ_n into the set Σ_n^+ of nonempty words; it can be extended to a morphism of Σ_n^* (Σ_n^ω) in a natural way by concatenation. A substitution σ is primitive if there exists a positive integer k such that, for any a and b in Σ_n, the letter a occurs in $\sigma^k(b)$. About the periodic points of a primitive substitution, we have the following simple fact.

Proposition 19. ([13] Proposition 1.2.3.) If σ is primitive, then any of its periodic points is a uniform recurrent sequence. □

Brelk et al. [4] showed that smooth infinite words are recurrent for same parity alphabets, but we do not know wether or not smooth infinite words are uniformly recurrent for same parity alphabets. Note that Σ_n is the alphabet with the cyclic order $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$. Now we consider the generalized Kolakoski word $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ over Σ_n with the base sequence $\mathcal{B}(K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}) = (c_1c_2\cdots c_n)^\omega$ and

$$c_i = q_i \cdot n + r \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{9.1}$$

For 2-letter alphabet $\Sigma_2 = \{c_1,\ c_2\}$ having same parity, if $c_1 = 2m$ and $c_2 = 2n$, where $m, n \in \mathcal{N}$, then $K_{c_1c_2}$ is the fixed point of the following primitive substitution which was given by Sing [24]:

$$\sigma : \begin{array}{l} A \mapsto A^m B^m \\ B \mapsto A^n B^n, \end{array}$$

where $A = c_1^2$ and $B = c_2^2$.

If $c_1 = 2m+1$ and $c_2 = 2n+1$, where $m < n \in \mathcal{N}$, then $K_{c_1c_2}$ is the fixed point of the following primitive substitution μ which Sing constructed in [25]:

$$\mu : \begin{array}{l} A \mapsto A^m B C^m \\ B \mapsto A^m B C^n \\ C \mapsto A^n B C^n, \end{array}$$

where $A = c_1^2$, $B = c_1c_2$ and $C = c_2^2$.

Thus Proposition 19 gives the generalized kolakoski sequences are uniformly recurrent for 2-letter alphabets having same parity.

In this section, when $r = 0$, or $r > 0$ and n is an even number, we construct a primitive substitution σ of Σ_n such that $K_{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n}$ is the fixpoint of σ.

Case 1. $r = 0$ in (9.1).

In this case, the letters c_1, c_2, $\cdots$, c_n are all the multiples of n, then set $A_i = c_i^n$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and the substitution σ is given by

$$\sigma : \quad A_i \mapsto A_1^{q_i} A_2^{q_i} \cdots A_n^{q_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{9.2}$$

Note that $q_i > 0$, from (9.2) it immediately follows that σ is both a primitive substitution and $K_{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma^t(A_1)$, which means that $K_{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n}$ is a fixpoint of the primitive substitution (9.2).

Case 2. $r > 0$ in (9.1) and n is an even number with $n = 2m$, where $m \in \mathcal{N}$.

Set $A_i = c_i^n$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, $B_i = c_{2i-1}^r c_{2i}^r$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Case 2.1. $r = 2h$, $h \in \mathcal{N}$. Then the corresponding substitution σ are determined by

$$\sigma : \begin{array}{l} A_{2k+1} \mapsto A_{2k\cdot r+1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+1} A_{2k\cdot r+2}^{q_{2k+1}} A_{2k\cdot r+3}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+2} A_{2k\cdot r+4}^{q_{2k+1}} \cdots A_{2k\cdot r+n-1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+m} A_{2k\cdot r+n}^{q_{2k+1}} \\ B_{k+1} \mapsto A_{2k\cdot r+1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+1} A_{2k\cdot r+2}^{q_{2k+1}} \cdots A_{2(k\cdot r+h)-1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+h} A_{2(k\cdot r+h)}^{q_{2k+1}} A_{2(k\cdot r+h)+1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \\ \qquad\qquad B_{k\cdot r+h+1} A_{2(k\cdot r+h+1)}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \cdots A_{2(k+1)r-1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} B_{(k+1)r} A_{2(k+1)r}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \\ A_{2(k+1)} \mapsto A_{2(k+1)r+1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} B_{(k+1)r+1} A_{2(k+1)r+2}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \cdots A_{2(k+1)r+n-1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} B_{(k+1)r} A_{2(k+1)r+n}^{q_{2(k+1)}}, \end{array} \tag{9.3}$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$, $A_i = A_j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$, $B_i = B_j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$.

For example, if $\Sigma = \{2, 6, 10, 14\}$ then $n = 4, r = 2$. Thus $A_1 = 6^4$, $A_2 = 10^4$, $A_3 = 14^4$, $A_4 = 2^4$, $B_1 = 6^2 10^2$, $B_2 = 14^2 2^2$. From (9.3) it follows that

$$\sigma_1 : \begin{array}{l} A_1 \mapsto A_1 B_1 A_2 A_3 B_2 A_4 \\ B_1 \mapsto A_1 B_1 A_2 A_3^2 B_2 A_4^2 \\ A_2 \mapsto A_1^2 B_1 A_2^2 A_3^2 B_2 A_4^2 \\ A_3 \mapsto A_1^3 B_1 A_2^3 A_3^3 B_2 A_4^3 \\ B_2 \mapsto A_1^3 B_1 A_2^3 B_2 \\ A_4 \mapsto B_1 B_2 \end{array}. \tag{9.4}$$

By (9.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \quad \mapsto \quad & 6^6 10^6 14^6 2^6 \mapsto 6^6 10^6 14^6 2^6 6^6 10^6 14^{10} 2^{10} 6^{10} 10^{10} 14^{10} 2^{10} \\ & 6^{14} 10^{14} 14^{14} 2^{14} 6^{14} 10^{14} 14^2 2^2 6^2 10^2 14^2 2^2 \mapsto \cdots \\ = \quad & 6^6 10^6 14^6 2^6 6^6 10^6 14^{10} 2^{10} 6^{10} 10^{10} 14^{10} 2^{10} \\ & 6^{14} 10^{14} 14^{14} 2^{14} 6^{14} 10^{14} 14^2 2^2 6^2 10^2 14^2 2^2 \cdots = K_{6(10)(14)2}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

From (9.4) it easily follows that for any u and v in the alphabet $\{2, 6, 10, 14\}$, u must occur in $\sigma^3(v)$, which suggests that σ is a primitive substitution over the alphabet $\{2, 6, 10, 14\}$. Moreover, from (9.5) we have $K_{6(10)(14)2} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma_1^t(A_1)$. Thus $K_{6(10)(14)2}$ is a fixpoint of the primitive substitution (9.4).

In general, note that at most one of $q_1, q_2, \cdots$ and q_n can take the value 0, from (9.3) we easily see that for any u and v in the alphabet $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n, B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_m\}$, u must occur in $\sigma^3(v)$, which suggests that σ is a primitive substitution and $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma^t(A_1)$. Therefore $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ is a fixpoint of the primitive substitution (9.3).

Case 2.2. $r = 2h+1$, $h \in N$. Then the corresponding substitution σ are determined by

$$\sigma : \begin{array}{l} A_{2k+1} \mapsto A_{2k\cdot r+1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+1} A_{2k\cdot r+2}^{q_{2k+1}} A_{2k\cdot r+3}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+2} A_{2k\cdot r+4}^{q_{2k+1}} \cdots A_{2k\cdot r+n-1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+m} A_{2k\cdot r+n}^{q_{2k+1}} \\ B_{k+1} \mapsto A_{2k\cdot r+1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+1} A_{2k\cdot r+2}^{q_{2k+1}} \cdots A_{2(k\cdot r+h)-1}^{q_{2k+1}} B_{k\cdot r+h} A_{2(k\cdot r+h)}^{q_{2k+1}} A_{2(k\cdot r+h)+1}^{q_{2k+1}} \\ \qquad\qquad B_{k\cdot r+h+1} A_{2(k\cdot r+h+1)}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \cdots A_{2(k+1)r-1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} B_{(k+1)r} A_{2(k+1)r}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \\ A_{2(k+1)} \mapsto A_{2(k+1)r+1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} B_{(k+1)r+1} A_{2(k+1)r+2}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \cdots A_{2(k+1)r+n-1}^{q_{2(k+1)}} B_{(k+1)r} A_{2(k+1)r+n}^{q_{2(k+1)}} \end{array}, \tag{9.6}$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$, $A_i = A_j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$, $B_i = B_j$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$.

For example, if $\Sigma = \{1, 5, 9, 13\}$ then $n = 4, r = 1$. Thus $A_1 = 5^4$, $A_2 = 9^4$, $A_3 = 13^4$, $A_4 = 1^4$, $B_1 = 59$, $B_2 = (13)1$. From (9.6) it follows that

$$\sigma_2 : \begin{array}{l} A_1 \mapsto A_1 B_1 A_2 A_3 B_2 A_4 \\ B_1 \mapsto A_1 B_1 A_2^2 \\ A_2 \mapsto A_3^2 B_2 A_4^2 A_1^2 B_1 A_2^2 \\ A_3 \mapsto A_3^3 B_2 A_4^3 A_1^3 B_1 A_2^3 \\ B_2 \mapsto A_3^3 B_2 \\ A_4 \mapsto B_1 B_2 \end{array}. \tag{9.7}$$

In view of (9.7), we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 & \mapsto & 5^5 9^5 13^5 1^5 \mapsto 5^5 9^5 13^5 1^5 5^5 9^9 13^9 1^9 5^9 9^9 13^{13} 1^{13} 5^{13} 9^{13} 13^{13} 159(13)1 \mapsto \cdots \\ & = & 5^5 9^5 13^5 1^5 5^5 9^9 13^9 1^9 5^9 9^9 13^{13} 1^{13} 5^{13} 9^{13} 13^{13} 159(13)1 \cdots = K_{59(13)1}. \end{array}$$

By an argument similar to Case 2.1, from (9.6) we obtain that $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ is a fixpoint of the primitive substitution (9.6).

Up to now, we have proved that if $r = 0$ or $r > 0$ and n is an even number, then $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ is a fixpoint of the primitive substitution σ. Thus from Proposition 19 it immediately follows that $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ is uniformly recurrent, which suggests that

Theorem 20. Let n be an integer larger than 1, and $a_i = q_i \cdot n + r$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, $0 \leq r \leq n-1$. If $r = 0$ or $r > 0$ and n is an even number, then the generalized Kolakoski words over the n-letter alphabet Σ_n with the cyclic order $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$ are the uniform recurrent sequences. $\square$

10. Reversal

Brlek et al. proved that the set $F(w)$ is closed under reversal for 2-letter odd alphabets by [4] Lemma 11. For n-letter alphabet Σ_n with the cyclic order $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$, if $n > 2$, then the reversal of any factor of $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$ of length larger than 1 is not compatible with $\mathbf{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n}$. Thus the reversal of any factor of a smooth infinite word w with the number of runs being larger than 1 must be not again a factor of w. Thus closure property of reversal of factors of smooth infinite words is of meaningful only for 2-letter alphabets. Since the proof of [4] Lemma 11 seems to be somewhat complicated, we now give a different proof, which is slightly more explicit.

Theorem 21. ([4] Proposition 15) Let w be a smooth infinite word over the alphabet Σ_2. If a_1, a_2 are odd integers, then the set $F(w)$ is closed under reversal.

Proof. Since $w = \Phi^{-1}(u)$ for some $u \in \Sigma_n^{\omega}$, by (6.7), $w = \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots k])$. Note that $u[k]$ is a palindrome of length 1 and a, b are odd integers. So by (6.6) and Lemma 12 (3), we see that $\Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots k]) = \Delta_{u[1]}^{-1}\Delta_{u[2]}^{-1}\cdots\Delta_{u[k-1]}^{-1}(u[k])$ is a palindrome of odd length. Since for every $f \in F(w)$, there is a positive integer m such that $\Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots m]) = gfq$, so $\Phi^{-1}(u[1\cdots m]) = \Phi^{-1}(\widetilde{u[1\cdots m]}) = \tilde{q}\tilde{f}\tilde{g}$, that is, $\tilde{f} \in F(w)$, which guarantees that $F(w)$ is closed under reversal. $\square$

11. Concluding remarks

Brelk et al. [4] showed that smooth infinite words are recurrent for 2-letter alphabets having same parity. In the section 8, we show that smooth infinite words are recurrent for n-letter alphabets Σ_n having same remainder when divided by n. In the section 9, we establish that the generalized Kolakoski words over the n-letter alphabet Σ_n are uniformly recurrent except for the case $r > 0$ and n being an odd integer.

Thus for the alphabets Σ_n having same nonzero remainder when divided by n and n being a positive odd integer, to determine whether or not the generalized Kolakoski words are uniformly recurrent is a fascinating problem. In general, to ascertain whether smooth infinite words over n-letter alphabet Σ_n are uniformly recurrent also deserves further investigations. Similarly, letter frequency and permutation invariant property of smooth infinite words also merits further explorations.

Moreover, by corollary 9, if $n \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then the letter frequency of generalized Kolakoski words is $1/n$ for the alphabet Σ_n with given cyclic order.

In addition, let $n = 2m$, $a_i = n \cdot q_i + r$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $r = m$. And if we could construct a primitive substitution σ of constant length n over the alphabet $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n, B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_m\}$, then from [22] Proposition V.9. it follows that each letter in the fixed point $u = \lim_{i\to\infty} \sigma^i(A_1)$ of σ, occurs in u with a positive frequency. Let p_i be the frequency of A_i occurring in u for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and q_i be the frequency of B_i occurring in u for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, then by $A_i = c_i^n$ and $B_j = c_{2j-1}^m c_{2j}^m$, we see that the letter c_{2i-1} occurs exactly in A_{2i-1} and B_i, and c_{2i} occurs exactly in A_{2i} and B_i. Thus the frequency of c_{2i-1} occurring in $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ is equal to $p_{2i-1} + 1/2 \cdot q_i$, and the frequency of c_{2i} occurring in $K_{c_1c_2\cdots c_n}$ is equal to $p_{2i} + 1/2 \cdot q_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Therefore we could arrive at the following attractive result:

For the n-letter alphabet Σ_n with the cyclic order, if $r > 0, n = 2m$ and $r = m$, the letters occurred in the generalized Kolakoski words have the positive frequency.

Thus the following open problem is very significative.

Prove (or disprove) that for the generalized Kolakoski sequence K_u over n-letter alphabet Σ_n, there exists a primitive substitution σ of length constant over the alphabet $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n, B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_m\}$ such that K_u is a fixed point of σ.

References

1. **M. Baake, B. Sing,** *Kolakoski-(3,1) is a (deformed) model set,* Canad. Math. Bull., 47 (2) (2004), 168-190.

2. **V. Berthé, S. Brlek, P. Choquette,** *Smooth words over arbitrary alphabets,* Theoretical Computer Science, 341 (2005), 293-310.

3. **S. Brlek, S. Dulucq, A. Ladouceur, L. Vuillon,** *Combinatorial properties of smooth infinite words,* Theoretical Computer Science, 352 (2006), 306-317.

4. **S. Brlek, D. Jamet, G. Paquin,** *Smooth words on 2-letter alphabets having same parity,* Theoretical Computer Science, 393 (2008), 166-181.

5. **S. Brlek, A. Ladouceur,** *A note on differentiable Palindromes,* Theoretical Computer Science, 302 (2003), 167-178.

6. **S. Brlek, G. Melançon , G. Paquin,** *Properties of the extremal infinite smooth words,* Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, DMTCS , 9 (2) (2007), 33-50.

7. **A. Carpi,** *Repetitions in the Kolakovski sequence,* Bull. of the EATCS, 50 (1993), 194-196.

8. **A. Carpi,** *On repeated factors in C^∞-words,* Information Processing Letters, 52 (6) (1994), 289-294.

9. **V. Chvátal,** *Notes On the Kolakoski sequence,* DIMACS Tech. Rep., 93-84 (1994).

10. **F. M. Dekking,** *Regularity and irragularity of sequences generated by automata,* Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 1979-80, exposé n° 9, 901-910.

11. **F. M. Dekking,** *On the structure of selfgenerating sequences,* Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 1980-81, exposé n° 31, 3101-3106.

12. **F. M. Dekking,** *What is the long range order in the kolakoski sequence?* in R.V.Moody (ed.), The mathematics of Long-Range Aperiodic order, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1997) 115-125.

13. **Pytheas Fogg,** *Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1794, Springer, 2002.

14. **Y. B. Huang,** *About the number of C^∞-words of form $\tilde{w}xw$,* Theoretical Computer Science, 393 (2008), 280-286.

15. **Y. B. Huang,** *The complexity of $C^{b\omega}$-words of the form $\tilde{w}xw$,* Theoret. Comput. Sci., **410** (2009), 4892-4904.

16. **Y. B. Huang, W. D. Weakley,** *A note on the complexity of C^∞-words,* Theoret. Comput. Sci., **411** (2010), 3731-3735.

17. **M. S. Keane,** *Ergodic theory and subshifts of finite type,* in: Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Spaces, T. Bedford, M. Keane, C. Series (Eds.), Oxford University Press (Oxford 1991), 350-370.

18. **C. Kimberling,** *Problem 6287,* Amer. Math. Monthly, 86 (1979), 35-70.

19. **W. Kolakoski,** *Self-genetating runs, Problem 5304,* Amer. Math. Monthly, 72 (1965), 674. Solution: Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (1966), 681-682.

20. **A. Lepistö,** *Repetitions in the Kolakoski sequence,* Development in Language Theory (1993), 130-143.

21. **G. Păun,** *How much Thue is Kolakovski?* Bull. of the EATCS, 49 (1993), 183-185.

22. **M. Queffélec,** *Substitution Dynamical Systems- Spectral Analysis,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1294 (1987), Springer-Verlag, 94.

23. **R. Steacy,** *Structure in the Kolakoski sequence,* Bull. of the EATCS, 59 (1996), 173-182.

24. **B. Sing,** *Kolakoski sequences - an example of aperiodic order,* J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 334-335 (2004), 100-104.

25. **B. Sing,** *Kolakoski-(2m,2n) are limit-periodic model sets,* J. Math. Phys. 44 (2) (2003), 899-912.

26. **B. Steinsky,** *A Recursive Formula for the Kolakoski Sequence,* Journal of Integer Sequences, 9 (2006), Article 06.3.7.

27. **W. D. Weakley,** *On the number of C^{∞}-words of each length,* Jour. of comb. Theory, Ser.A, 51 (1989), 55-62.